## **CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**

**International General Certificate of Secondary Education** 

## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2014 series

## 0445 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

0445/13 Paper 1 (Design), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2014 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



Page 2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus Paper				
		IGCSE – May/June 2014	0445	13			
(a)		any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – store sizes sositioned on wall / desk / floor, easy to place / remo	•		[4]		
(b)	Accept drawings of any $two$ suitable methods – paper knife, scissors, slice with blade / guillotine, steam / peel open, etc. (2 × 2)						
(c)	Any suitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.						
	Clear dra	of techniques techniques – shadi n clear annotation a	(3–4)				
	Rather m	ty c designs showing outlines only nore detail, sensible solutions that could work e solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed constr	uction	(0-2) (3-4) (5-6)	[12]		
(d)		on of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to a nand justification. (1+1)	2 marks each	(0–6) (2)	[8]		
(e)	Poor line Good line	of drawing e quality, proportions, little detail e work, use of colour, proportions, some detail endard throughout with a range of techniques that sh	ow clearly all detail	(1) (2–3) (4)			
	Dimensi	ons 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2		(2)			
	Most co annotation	on to be used views or with son	(3-4)				
		ructional detail will be clear with good annotation as necessary	and additional det	aii (5–6)	[12]		
(f)		specific materials stated. (1+1) ate reasons for choice. (1+1)		(2) (2)	[4]		
(g)		method stated. tailed description of: processes; tools.		(1) (3) (2)	[6]		

1

Page 3		Mark Scheme Syllabu			Syllabus	S Paper		
rage 3				- May/June 20	)14	0445	13	
(a)	Accept any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – suitable size / shape for handling, interesting theme / colour, items easy to access, protect items, etc. $(1 \times 4)$						[4]	
(b)	Accept drawings of any $two$ methods – fold over, several thicknesses / layers, add wire spiral, add rod / strip, etc. (2 $\times$ 2)							[4]
(c)	Any suitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.							
	Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading /colour/annotation etc. (3–4 High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and						(3–4)	
	Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work					(0-2) (3-4) (5-6)	[12]	
(d)	Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each Selection and justification. (1+1)				(0–6) (2)	[8]		
(e)	Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail (2–3					(1) (2–3) ail (4)		
	Dimensi			dimensions or ail dimensions			(2)	
	Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some						(3–4)	
	drawings				,		(5–6)	[12]
(f)						(2) (2)	[4]	
(g)	Suitable Good de		stated. scription of:	processes; tools.			(1) (3) (2)	[6]
	[Tota							: 50]

2

Page 4			Ма	ırk Scheme		Syllabus	Paper		
· ~3~ ·				- May/June 2014	,	0445	13		
(a)	Accept any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – easy to use by anyone, register for paper, amount of effort required, suggested size / weight / material, etc. $(1 \times 4)$						[4]		
(b)	Accept any <b>two</b> methods – lever, cam, slider, screw, spring return, etc. $(2 \times 2)$								
(c)	Any suitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.								
	Communication Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques (0–2) Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading /colour/annotation etc. (3–4) High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail (5–6)						ding (3–4) and		
	Rather m	c desigr nore det		itlines only solutions that coul ss for purpose, d		ruction	(0–2) (3–4) (5–6)	[12]	
(d)	Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each Selection and justification. (1+1)					2 marks each	(0–6) (2)	[8]	
(e)	Quality of drawing Poor line quality, proportions, little detail Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all de					now clearly all deta	(1) (2–3) ail (4)		
	Dimensi			dimensions only ail dimensions –			(2)		
	Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some						(3–4)		
	drawings			oe clear with god	od annotation	and additional d	(5–6)	[12]	
(f)							(2) (2)	[4]	
(g)	Suitable method stated. Good detailed description of: processes; tools.					(1) (3) (2)	[6]		
	[Tot							: 50]	

3